UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/783,620	02/20/2004	Liwen Jiang	14769US02	7208
	7590 07/18/200 S HELD & MALLOY,	EXAMINER		
500 WEST MA	DISON STREET	KUMAR, VINOD		
SUITE 3400 CHICAGO, IL	60661		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1638	
		MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			07/18/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/783,620	JIANG ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
VINOD KUMAR	1638		

	VINOD KUMAR	1638						
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress					
THE REPLY FILED <u>23 June 2008</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.								
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appe for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods:	replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit al (with appeal fee) in compliance	, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request					
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing	date of the final rejection.							
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Aono event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth i ter than SIX MONTHS from the mailing	date of the final rejection	n.					
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (I MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date of).							
have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ext under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the s set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	ension and the corresponding amount of the corresponding a	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Offic	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as					
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp	iance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be f	iled within two months	s of the date of					
filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed wi AMENDMENTS			e appeal. Since a					
AMENDMENTS 3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but the proposed amendment (s) filed after a final rejection, but the proposed amendment (s) filed after a final rejection, but the proposed amendment (s) filed after a final rejection, but the proposed amendment (s) filed after a final rejection, but the proposed amendment (s) filed after a final rejection (s) filed after a filed after	out prior to the data of filing a brief	will not be entered be	001100					
a) ∑ The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, to a line proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, to a line proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, to			cause					
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below	•	2 50.01.7,						
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bett appeal; and/or	•	lucing or simplifying th	ne issues for					
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a c	orresponding number of finally reje	cted claims.						
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1)	16 and 41.33(a)).							
4. $oxedsymbol{oxed}$ The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12		mpliant Amendment (I	PTOL-324).					
5. 🔲 Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):								
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).		•	-					
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: <u>None</u> .		be entered and an ex	xplanation of					
Claim(s) objected to: <u>None</u> .								
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1, 7-9, 13, 15, 17-29 (claims at final)</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: <u>None</u> .								
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE								
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 								
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to or showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	l and/or appellant fails	s to provide a					
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	•							
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but	does NOT place the application in	condition for allowan	ce because:					
12. ☐ Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)							
3. A Other: New limitations ("proteolytically processed before reaching the protein storage vacuoles" and "separated from the anchors								
upon reaching the protein storage vacuoles) introduced in claim 1 would require further consideration and/or search.								
	/Phuong T. Bui/							
	Primary Examiner, Art U	nit 1638						

Continuation of 3. NOTE: Claim amendment filed in the paper of 23 June 2008 introduces new limitations in claim 1 that would require further consideration and/or search.